



**DOGGER BANK
TEESSIDE A & B**

**March
2014**

Consultation Report

Appendix K

First Phase Section 47 Responses & Forewind Regard (Dogger Bank Teesside)

Doc.No. F-STL-RP-001

Application Reference 5.1.11



**DOGGER BANK
TEESSIDE A & B**

**March
2014**

Consultation Report

Appendix K.1

List of all responses received from Section 47 consultees during the first phase of statutory consultation

Doc.No. F-STL-RP-001

Application Reference 5.1.11

Consultee	Stage	Issues raised
Durham Bat Group	09/05/2012	Terrestrial Ecology
Tees Archaeology	10/05/2012	Terrestrial Archaeology
Ramblers Volunteers Footpath Secretary	24/05/2012	Assessment of Alternatives, Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation
Ian Swales MP, TS_PEI1_R2	30/05/2012	Socio-Economics
National Farmers Union	31/05/2012	Land Use and Agriculture
Northumberland Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority	07/06/2012	Commercial Fisheries
Lazenby Environmental Group	12/06/2012	Assessment of Alternatives, Consultation, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment, Land Use and Agriculture
Eston Residents Association	18/06/2012	Assessment of Alternatives, Consultation
TS_PEI1_R5	20/06/2012	Consultation
Surfers Against Sewage	20/06/2012	Consultation, Marine Physical Processes
Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment (Rijkswaterstaat Waterdienst)	21/06/2012	Designated Sites, Marine and Coastal Ornithology, Marine Mammals, Commercial Fisheries, Shipping and Navigation
Tees Valley Wildlife Trust	22/06/2012	Assessment of Alternatives, Designated Sites, Marine Physical Processes, Marine and Intertidal Ecology, Terrestrial Ecology



**DOGGER BANK
TEESSIDE A & B**

**March
2014**

Consultation Report

Appendix K.2

Detailed responses received from Section 47 consultees during the first phase of statutory consultation, and the regard that Forewind has had to responses received

Doc.No. F-STL-RP-001

Application Reference 5.1.11

Consultee	Stage	Date Received	Detailed Summary of Response	Influence on Project Proposal (Y/N)	Applicant Regard	Chapter Reference
5 Project Description						
Orange Personal Communications Services	TS S47 PEI1	13/08/2012	Orange communications requested co-ordinates of the Dogger Bank Zone and details of tip heights and rotor diameters so they could assess any possible conflict with their microwave systems. Forewind explained that the Zone was offshore and provided all the details they had requested.	N	Forewind received this response to the first stage of statutory consultation after the closing date, all details were provided	Chapter 5 Project Description
6 Assessment of Alternatives						
Ramblers Volunteers Footpath Secretary	TS S47 PEI1	24/05/2012	The Ramblers Volunteers Footpath Secretary, Mr Geoff Watkins has a positive feel about the development. He is impressed, converter sitings are well chosen and landfall preference makes very good sense. Forewind should focus on minimum disruption to access and wildlife. There can be a problem with S3 - land present access issues during construction. There can be employment benefits if local labour used.	Y	Forewind noted comments from Ramblers Association and have focused on minimum disruption where possible during all phases of development. Further information on the assessment of converter station sites can be found in Chapter 6 Assessment of Alternatives	6 Assessment of Alternatives
Lazenby Environmental Group	TS S47 PEI1	12/06/2012	Discussions regarding the design of the converter stations, specifically the height, leading to a change in design by reducing the converter hall heights from 30m to 20m and thus reducing the visual impact.	Y	Forewind has noted the comments from Lazenby Environmental Group, and site selection is considered within Chapter 6 Assessment of Alternatives	Chapter 6 Assessment of Alternatives
Eston Residents Association	TS S47 PEI1	18/06/2012	They wish to formally object to the project, in particular to the area adjacent to the Wilton Site.	Y	Forewind have noted the comments from Eston Residents Association, and Eston is considered within the LVIA	The assessment considers direct and indirect impacts on the

Consultee	Stage	Date Received	Detailed Summary of Response	Influence on Project Proposal (Y/N)	Applicant Regard	Chapter Reference
					assessment. Relevant impacts resulting from the development have been identified with appropriate mitigation being proposed especially based around the sub-station	landscape and views, as detailed in Section 3, Methodology.
Tees Valley Wildlife Trust	TS S47 PEI1	22/06/2012	<p>TVWT are satisfied with the approach taken and the options presented (landfall and converter station). Site S1 - close to Northumbrian Water's land (TVWT carried ecological surveys there). Site S2 - close to Coatham Marsh Nature Reserve and TVWT would like to learn more about the impacts. TVWT would not have objections to the other sites.</p> <p>Marine Conservation Zones P5.3.19 - rMCZs can be scoped out if cables not run through the rMCZs P5.6.3 – impact of alteration in habitat in the cSAC to be assessed alongside direct loss of habitat. The introduction of hard substrates in a soft sediment habitat is likely to cause alteration in habitat type and the impact of this should also be assessed.</p> <p>Landfall(s) Zone 1 – support Forewind's concerns Zone 2 – beach in this area is locally important (and designated as Local Wildlife Site), sections of "The Stray" are</p>	Y	Forewind have noted the comments from Tees Valley Wildlife Trust, and landfall and converter station site selection is considered within Stage 4 (Section 4.5 of Chapter 6 Assessment of Alternatives) and Stage 5 (Section 4.6 of Chapter 6 Assessment of Alternatives) respectively	06 Assessment of Alternatives, Section 4.5, Section 4.6

Consultee	Stage	Date Received	Detailed Summary of Response	Influence on Project Proposal (Y/N)	Applicant Regard	Chapter Reference
			designated as locally important coastal grasslands.			
7 Consultation						
Lazenby Environmental Group	TS S47 PEI1	12/06/2012	The public events were not publicised well enough	N	Forewind noted that the exhibition publicity was considered poor, and will ensure that future events are publicised to the fullest extent	N/A
Eston Residents Association	TS S47 PEI1	18/06/2012	Commented on consultation process that people from the Eston area were not made aware about the exhibitions.	N	Forewind have noted that the residents of Eston were not aware of the public exhibitions, and will ensure that future events are publicised to the fullest extent	N/A
TS_PEI1_R5	TS S47 PEI1	20/06/2012	Resident pointed out that Teesside is spelt incorrectly on the PEI1 CD. She had difficulty accessing PEI1 CD on her computer although it seems it is a problem with her computer. Sent a hard copy of the non-technical summary, plus a list of the libraries where hard copies of the full suite of PEI1 is available. Resident will call again if she would like further details or has any questions.	N	Preliminary Environmental Information DVD resent with hard copy of Non-Technical Summary. Forewind noted the spelling error and amended the templates so it would not happen again	N/A
Surfers Against Sewage	TS S47 PEI1	20/06/2012	Recommended a number of additional surfing groups for Forewind to contact	N	Forewind noted the groups and have added them to our consultation records so that they can be consulted with in future	N/A
8 Designated Sites						
Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment (Rijkswaterstaat)	TS S47 PEI1	21/06/2012	Dutch N2000 areas - the impact assessment should contain information on whether or not these sites and appointed species will be impacted by the Teesside	Y	Forewind has noted the comments from the Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment. Studies on	8 Designated Sites

Consultee	Stage	Date Received	Detailed Summary of Response	Influence on Project Proposal (Y/N)	Applicant Regard	Chapter Reference
Waterdienst)			wind farm. We would like to stress the importance of connecting with Natura 2000 and fisheries.		designated sites and further information can be found in Chapter 8 Designated Sites	
Tees Valley Wildlife Trust	TS S47 PEI1	22/06/2012	Marine Conservation Zones P5.3.19 - rMCZs can be scoped out if cables not run through the rMCZs P5.6.3 – impact of alteration in habitat in the cSAC to be assessed alongside direct loss of habitat. The introduction of hard substrates in a soft sediment habitat is likely to cause alteration in habitat type and the impact of this should also be assessed.	Y	Forewind noted the comments from Tees Valley Wildlife Trust. Impacts on designated sites have been considered within this assessment in Section 6. Designated sites are also considered in Chapter 8 Designated Sites.	08 Designated Sites, Section 6
9 Marine Physical Processes						
Surfers Against Sewage	TS S47 PEI1	20/06/2012	Need to fully assess impacts of foundations and rock placement on wave regime. East coast is a popular and long established surfing and watersports community. Recommended SAS reports: “Guidance on Environmental Impact Assessment of Offshore Renewable Energy Development on Surfing Resources and Recreation” (2009) and “The WAR Report” (2010)	Y	Forewind's physical processes modelling will give due consideration to impacts on the wave regime.	9 Marine Physical Processes
Tees Valley Wildlife Trust	TS s47 PEI1	22/06/2012	Marine Conservation Zones P5.3.19 - rMCZs can be scoped out if cables not run through the rMCZs P5.6.3 – impact of alteration in habitat in the cSAC to be assessed alongside direct loss of habitat. The introduction of hard	Y	Marine conservation Zones - consideration will be given to this within the physical processes modelling and benthic ecology impact assessment.	09 Marine Physical Processes 12 Marine and Intertidal Ecology

Consultee	Stage	Date Received	Detailed Summary of Response	Influence on Project Proposal (Y/N)	Applicant Regard	Chapter Reference
			substrates in a soft sediment habitat is likely to cause alteration in habitat type and the impact of this should also be assessed.			
11 Marine and Coastal Ornithology						
Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment (Rijkswaterstaat Waterdienst)	TS S47 PEI1	21/06/2012	Onshore bird colonies in the Netherlands are all situated more than 100km from the Dutch-UK border, so no effects are to be expected there. Large east-west fluxes of migrating birds however can be impacted by the presence of hundreds of rotors there. Dogger Bank can form immense barrier for migrating (both swimming and flying) seabirds (especially the guillemots and razorbills) - this should be addressed.	Y	Forewind has noted the comments from the Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment and marine ornithology is considered within Chapter 11 Marine and Coastal Ornithology	11 Marine and Coastal Ornithology
12 Marine and Intertidal Ecology						
Tees Valley Wildlife Trust	TS s47 PEI1	22/06/2012	Marine Conservation Zones P5.3.19 - rMCZs can be scoped out if cables not run through the rMCZs P5.6.3 – impact of alteration in habitat in the cSAC to be assessed alongside direct loss of habitat. The introduction of hard substrates in a soft sediment habitat is likely to cause alteration in habitat type and the impact of this should also be assessed.	Y	Forewind noted the comments from Tees Valley Wildlife Trust and impacts on marine ecology due to habitat loss has been addressed within Chapter 12 Marine and Intertidal Ecology and Chapter 9 Marine Physical Processes	09 Marine Physical Processes 12 Marine and Intertidal Ecology
14 Marine Mammals						

Consultee	Stage	Date Received	Detailed Summary of Response	Influence on Project Proposal (Y/N)	Applicant Regard	Chapter Reference
Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment (Rijkswaterstaat Waterdienst)	TS S47 PEI1	21/06/2012	Harbour porpoise - they provided the link to Dutch plan and reports. Forewind to understand the density in distribution and mitigate the negative effects on harbour porpoises. They recommend monitoring the produced sound levels regardless of the used method.	Y	Forewind has noted the comments from the Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment and marine mammals are considered within Chapter 14 Marine Mammals	14 Marine Mammals
15 Commercial Fisheries						
Northumberland Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority	TS S47 PEI1	07/06/2012	NIFCA confirmed the consultation area is outside their district and Forewind should make sure to consult with NEIFCA. However the fishermen from NIFCA district can still be active in Forewind's consultation area. NIFCA will liaise with them.	N	Forewind noted the response from Northumberland Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority	N/A
Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment (Rijkswaterstaat Waterdienst)	TS S47 PEI1	21/06/2012	<p>Fisheries</p> <p>We are interested to read in the Fisheries Liaison Plan and in what will be done in response to the consultation process.</p> <p>We would like to stress the importance of connecting with Natura 2000 and fisheries.</p> <p>They are interested in how the layout of wind farms will be made suitable for fisheries and navigation of small vessels and a risk analysis of the possibility of accidents.</p>	Y	Forewind has noted the comments from the Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment and commercial fisheries are considered within Chapter 15 Commercial Fisheries	15 Commercial Fisheries
16 Shipping and Navigation						

Consultee	Stage	Date Received	Detailed Summary of Response	Influence on Project Proposal (Y/N)	Applicant Regard	Chapter Reference
Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment (Rijkswaterstaat Waterdienst)	TS S47 PEI1	21/06/2012	Forewind should be aware of SNSOWF with regard to navigation.	Y	Forewind has noted the comments from the Dutch Ministry for Infrastructure and Environment and navigation is considered within Chapter 16 Shipping and Navigation	16 Shipping and Navigation
21 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment						
Lazenby Environmental Group	TS S47 PEI1	12/06/2012	Discussions regarding the design of the converter stations, specifically the height, leading to a change in design by reducing the converter hall heights from 30m to 20m and thus reducing the visual impact.	Y	Forewind noted comments from Lazenby Environmental Group. Relevant impacts resulting from the development have been identified with appropriate mitigation being proposed especially based around the sub-station and edge of Lazenby village.	The assessment considers direct and indirect impacts on the landscape and views, as detailed in Section 3, Methodology.
22 Socio-Economics						
Ramblers Volunteers Footpath Secretary	TS S47 PEI1	24/05/2012	The Ramblers Volunteers Footpath Secretary, Mr Geoff Watkins has a positive feel about the development. He is impressed, converter sitings are well chosen and landfall preference makes very good sense. Forewind should focus on minimum disruption to access and wildlife. There can be a problem with S3 - land present access issues during construction. There can be employment benefits if local labour used.	N	Forewind welcomes the comments by the Ramblers Volunteers Footpath Secretary and are pleased that there are positive feelings about the development. Forewind will use local labour wherever possible and overall employment benefits are anticipated for the local area.	Refer to Chapter 21 Landscape and Visual Impact; Chapter 25 Terrestrial Ecology and Chapter 28 Traffic & Access
Ian Swales MP, TS_PEI1_R2	TS S47 PEI1	30/05/2012	Asked for details of community support that Forewind might consider in light of the low number of jobs that would be	N	Forewind noted the comments and provided post exhibition feedback and further details on	N/A

Consultee	Stage	Date Received	Detailed Summary of Response	Influence on Project Proposal (Y/N)	Applicant Regard	Chapter Reference
			created. Forewind responded to confirm that the project would create the potential for thousands of jobs and provided the ORED communication detailing the number of jobs already announced by the renewable industry to date. Forewind described the Champions for Wind programme and that Forewind are hoping to roll it out in Teesside later in the year.		Champions for wind	
23 Tourism & Recreation						
Ramblers Volunteers Footpath Secretary	TS S47 PEI1	24/05/2012	The Ramblers Volunteers Footpath Secretary, Mr Geoff Watkins has a positive feel about the development. He is impressed, converter sitings are well chosen and landfall preference makes very good sense. Forewind should focus on minimum disruption to access and wildlife. There can be a problem with S3 - land present access issues during construction. There can be employment benefits if local labour used.	Y	Forewind noted the response from the Ramblers Volunteers Footpath Secretary, further details on potential impacts to public rights of way can be found in Chapter 23 Tourism and Recreation	23 Tourism and Recreation
25 Terrestrial Ecology						
Durham Bat Group	TS S47 PEI1	09/05/2012	Generic comment on bat legislation and the possibility of migratory bats across the North Sea. Requested a copy of the EIA and expected all surveys to be undertaken following the Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) guidelines and by suitably qualified and licensed ecologists.	Y	Forewind noted Durham Bat Group's comments and all bat surveys were undertaken by suitably qualified and licensed ecologists and in accordance with BCT guidelines, see Section 4.4.	25 Terrestrial Ecology

Consultee	Stage	Date Received	Detailed Summary of Response	Influence on Project Proposal (Y/N)	Applicant Regard	Chapter Reference
Tees Valley Wildlife Trust	TS S47 PEI1	22/06/2012	Satisfied with the approach taken and the options presented (landfall and converter station). Beach in this area is locally important (and designated as a Local Wildlife Site (LWS)), (also known as Grundales) are designated as locally important coastal grasslands.	Y	Forewind noted the comments from Tees Valley Wildlife Trust. Impacts on designated sites have been considered within this assessment in Section 6. Designated sites are also considered in Chapter 8 Designated Sites.	08 Designated Sites 25 Terrestrial Ecology, Section 6
26 Land Use and Agriculture						
National Farmers Union	TS S47 PEI1	31/05/2012	Discussion between Dalcour Maclaren and NFU about the principle details of the scheme. NFU mentioned that they do not have very many queries raised by her members (a lot of concerns had been raised about the Potash scheme). NFU raised very few questions and was merely grateful for the chance to meet and discuss the detail.	Y	Forewind have noted comments from National Farmers Union, and the Potash Scheme has been considered as part of the Cumulative Impact Assessment in Section 10 of Chapter 26 Land Use and Agriculture	26 Land Use and Agriculture, Section 10
Lazenby Environmental Group	TS S47 PEI1	12/06/2012	Discussions regarding the design of the converter stations, specifically the height, leading to a change in design by reducing the converter hall heights from 30m to 20m and thus reducing the visual impact.	Y	Impacts to farming and agricultural practices is considered within Chapter 26 Land Use and Agriculture	Chapter 26 land Use and Agriculture - Sections 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10
27 Terrestrial Archaeology						
Tees Archaeology	TS S47 PEI1	10/05/2012	With effect from 1 April 2012, Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council ceased to provide any funding for Tees Archaeology work and they are unable to offer a service relating to their district.	N	Forewind undertook consultation with Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council to discuss the consultation process and lines of communication regarding Chapter 27 Terrestrial Archaeology	N/A

Consultee	Stage	Date Received	Detailed Summary of Response	Influence on Project Proposal (Y/N)	Applicant Regard	Chapter Reference
			They suggested to get in touch with the Chief Executive at the Council to find out what arrangements have been made for archaeological advice in relation to planning applications			



**DOGGER BANK
TEESSIDE A & B**

**March
2014**

Consultation Report

Appendix K.3

Summary of comments contained within questionnaires received from Section 47 consultees during the first phase of statutory consultation

Doc.No. F-STL-RP-001

Application Reference 5.1.11

Consultee	Date Received	Summary Text	Applicant Regard	Answer to Questions
Questionnaires				
TS_PEI1 S47_Q2	24/05/2012	The resident has a positive feel of the development, does not see Forewind's onshore infrastructure work impacting the village and surrounding area. However have concerns re building / decommissioning etc. Converter locations - proposal has looked at the needs and should minimise any impact, proposal and design should be sympathetic with the existing areas.	Forewind noted the response and decommissioning and construction impacts will be covered in the draft Environmental Statement as well as proposed mitigation	Decommission will be covered in the ES
TS_PEI1 S47_Q3	24/05/2012	Feels neutral about the project. Public exhibition does not provide enough information on decommissioning. Concerned over siting of the converters and impact on traffic. Does not think the development will bring anything to the area - outside labour.	Forewind noted the response and decommissioning, traffic and socio economics will be covered within the draft Environmental Statement	Decommissioning proposals, traffic and socio-economic assessments will be included in the ES.
TS_PEI1 S47_Q10	24/05/2012	Resident has a positive feel about the development. The information provided is satisfactory and EIA seems to be carried out properly. The development might bring some construction jobs to the area. Suggested to contact RCBC.	Noted in Forewind's records, Forewind have already contacted Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council and further information on Socio-economics will be included within the draft Environmental Statement	RCBC already contacted as they are s42b consultees.
TS_PEI1 S47_Q14	24/05/2012	The resident has a negative feel about the development, does not want turbines on the doorstep (there are enough turbines). Also worries they will be left to rot. Onshore cabling should not impact Marske Beach area; the development can also damage the seabed and has an impact on the wildlife. Forewind's documents are too long and difficult, there are no local people in the team, and consultants present it as done deal.	Forewind noted the response and will contact the resident to clarify that the Dogger Bank projects will not be visible from the shoreline. Site selection work will also be included within the draft Environmental Statement	Resident appears to be confusing Forewind development with EDF's Teesside Wind Farm. Decommissioning details to be included in the ES. Forewind to contact resident to clear up confusion and provide a copy of the non-technical summary or community consultation summary.

Consultee	Date Received	Summary Text	Applicant Regard	Answer to Questions
TS_PEI1 S47_Q15	24/05/2012	The resident has neutral feel about the project and is concerned about 3rd 400kV OHL. Would like to know more about NGC connection, plant. The benefit is the offshore servicing.	Forewind noted the response and further information on the onshore infrastructure will be found in the draft Environmental Statement	Forewind to provide some comment on NGET works Forewind to note signage comment and improve next time
TS_PEI1 S47_Q16	24/05/2012	The resident has positive feel about the project. They would like to know more about decommissioning - turbine life span and what happens after. The selection of sites is reasonable and they are hoping to see some employment benefits in the future. We could improve our consultation by displaying more posters in the areas affected and keep those communities in the loop.	Forewind noted the comments and decommissioning details and socio-economics will be included within the draft Environmental Statement	Decommissioning details will be included in ES Forewind to note signage comment and improve next time
TS_PEI1 S47_Q17	24/05/2012	The resident has a positive feel about the proposal. The 3 options are reasonable options and the proposal is an investment in North East industry. Would like to think everything will be restored onshore (e.g. wildlife area). The benefit for the area is incoming jobs.	Forewind noted the comments and further information on mitigation over various onshore topics will be found in the draft Environmental Statement	Onshore mitigation will be included within the draft ES
TS_PEI1 S47_Q18	24/05/2012	The resident has a positive feel about the development as this is the only alternative in the moment; site selection process is ok and does not have comments on converter station and landfall location.	Noted in Forewind's records	No response
TS_PEI1 S47_Q20	24/05/2012	Neutral feel about the project. Was surprised that the cable route is not Hornsea - Humberside. Lazenby is acceptable option for substations as in the industrial estate. Site 2 should be discouraged as there very close to wildlife areas. If sites 3/4 chosen Forewind should liaise with Parish/District council. There is a worry from community that the lines will require upgrading.	Forewind noted the comments and will call the resident to clarify the project and the confusion concerning the Hornsea suggestion. Further information on the site selection process and onshore infrastructure will be included within the draft Environmental Statement	Forewind to call to clear up Hornsea confusion Forewind to provide comments on NG upgrade works required and whether or not they will affect Nunthorpe & Newby

Consultee	Date Received	Summary Text	Applicant Regard	Answer to Questions
		There is also huge financial impact on UK taxpayer. The EIA pre-works appear to be adequately approached.		
TS_PEI1 S47_Q21	24/05/2012	Resident has positive feel about the project - less visual impact as offshore. Disturbance when constructing onshore connection should be kept to a minimum and Forewind should avoid woodland areas. Would be good if local businesses and residents could be involved in construction. Like to receive regular updates to feed it back to the residents.	Forewind noted the comments and further information on the site selection process and assessed impacts will be included within the draft Environmental Statement	Forewind to organise follow up ward councillor briefing
TS_PEI1 S47_Q22	24/05/2012	The community member feels negative about the development (no reasons given) and thinks there is more work to do the EIA.	Noted in Forewind's records and further assessments on all aspects of the project are included within the draft Environmental Statement	More up to date information on the project will be included in the draft ES
TS_PEI1 S47_Q23	24/05/2012	The community member has a positive feel about the development. The landfall and converter station proposals seem to be reasonable. In residents opinion the benefits can be local manufacture, construction and operation.	Noted in Forewind's records, further information on Socio economics will be included within the draft Environmental Statement	Socio-economic impacts will be included in the draft ES
TS_PEI1 S47_Q24	24/05/2012	The resident has a neutral feel about the development and asked that Redcar - Coast Road - Rugby Club fields to be left. The consultation material to be put in plain English.	Forewind noted the comments, further information on site selection will be included within the draft Environmental Statement	Forewind to confirm that Redcar Coast Road - Rugby Fields will not be affected
TS_PEI1 S47_Q25	24/05/2012	The resident has a positive feel about the project - it is necessary to reduce reliance on fossil fuels, thinks it is good to avoid residential area and any further alternatives would be even less appropriate. S1 could be opposed more strongly by the residents. The resident flagged out that for Teesside WF -	Forewind noted the comments, further information on site selection and socio-economics will be included within the draft Environmental Statement	Forewind to consider traffic comments

Consultee	Date Received	Summary Text	Applicant Regard	Answer to Questions
		lengthy roadworks caused (A1042/A174). The benefit can be employment with local firms in supply chain.		
TS_PEI1 S47_Q26	24/05/2012	Positive feel about the project. Forewind should consult with Lackenby residents (distinct from Lazenby). The community member sees employment opportunities, would like to Forewind to source the materials/turbines locally and use of Teessport.	Forewind noted the comments, Forewind has endeavoured to consult with all those who may be affected by the projects, and further information on socio-economics will be included in the draft Environmental Statement	Forewind to investigate how to involve Lackenby residents
TS_PEI1 S47_Q27	24/05/2012	The community member has positive feeling about the proposal (it will be far out to sea, less visual impact). Forewind should stick to the plan and resident is expecting there will be some benefits for the local community. Forewind should keep local people and councillors informed.	Noted in Forewind's records, community benefits will be determined by the project operator and are not included within the application	
TS_PEI1 S47_Q28	24/05/2012	The community member feels positive about the development. Would like to know more about development in Lazenby and how Forewind are going to manage the Marske Beach. Pointed out Forewind should be careful as the public can confuse Dogger Bank project with Teesside Wind Farm development.	Forewind noted the comments and further information on the site selection process will be included within the draft Environmental Statement	Impacts on Marske Beck will be assessed in the ES. Forewind to consider communications relating to Teesside wind farm Forewind noted this will be improved in the future, however there is no easy way of doing that
TS_PEI1 S47_Q4	25/05/2012	The resident has a positive feel about the project and is pleased cables are all to be underground. Forewind should make sure will provide the sizing of the substations - the resident is concerned about the size of the buildings.	Forewind noted the response and information on the converter stations, including height and dimensions will be included within the draft Environmental Statement	Forewind will detail the maximum height and land take of the converter stations in the ES.
TS_PEI1 S47_Q5	25/05/2012	The resident has a positive feel about the development, shared the knowledge about the	Forewind noted the response and follow up on the sewage pipeline	Forewind will check sewage pipeline

Consultee	Date Received	Summary Text	Applicant Regard	Answer to Questions
		<p>Area 1 - there is a Teesside landfall in the area and about Area 2 - there could be objections if any above surface construction / development. Forewind should take under consideration the telegraph cable - landfall between Marske and Redcar and Teesside Wind Farm onshore converter station (cable trunk down Kirkleatham Lane to Lackenby).</p> <p>The EIA and the proposal are well formulated and satisfying to the resident. There can be benefits for local people only if permanent jobs created.</p>	concerns. Forewind is aware of the telecoms cable that currently exists at landfall site two, and all onshore cables will be underground	<p>comment.</p> <p>Forewind is aware of the telecoms cables at Landfall Area 2.</p> <p>All onshore cabling will be underground.</p>
TS_PEI1 S47_Q6	25/05/2012	Positive feel about the development, no comments about the onshore development, the benefits to the area are the green energy and employment.	Noted in Forewind's records	No response
TS_PEI1 S47_Q7	25/05/2012	Positive feel about the development, stated that Tees Valley is the best option for converter station. Forewind should take under consideration RAMSAR sites (appropriate assessment to be done). No comments on EIA as it is in early stage. The benefit to the area could be connected with sport facilities for Redcar and Guisborough.	Forewind noted the response and further information on designated sites will be included within the draft Environmental Statement. Community benefits are determined by the project operators and not included within the application	Community benefits will be decided by the operator and will not be included in the application.
TS_PEI1 S47_Q11	25/05/2012	The resident has a positive feel of the development. Sees number of opportunities for people in local area (jobs). Stated that Forewind's site selection process is good.	Noted in Forewind's records, socio-economic assessments will be included in the draft Environmental Statement	Socio-economic impacts will be included in the draft ES
TS_PEI1 S47_Q12	25/05/2012	The resident has a positive feel about the development, the site selection process was thorough and the best site should be adjacent to heavy steel + chemical installations. The benefits for the area: job opportunities and Tees Port development. Communication already met the requirements.	Noted in Forewind's records, socio-economic assessments will be included in the draft Environmental Statement	Socio-economic impacts will be included in the draft ES

Consultee	Date Received	Summary Text	Applicant Regard	Answer to Questions
TS_PEI1 S47_Q13	25/05/2012	The resident has a positive feel about the project, good for area and part of national power reliance. There are potentially huge employment benefits. Site selection process generally good but the resident thought the brownfield could be a preferred entry point. Further exhibitions and information updates required.	Forewind noted the response and all site selection work will be detailed within the draft Environmental Statement, as well as socio-economic assessments.	By Brownfield, Forewind presume this means Landfall Area 1 which is not Forewind's preferred landfall. This is explained in the site selection report.
TS_PEI1 S47_Q19	25/05/2012	Positive feel about the project. Pointed out Cobham Bay is subject to land reclamation proposal to 'fill in' between the steel works and the deep water anchorage. Concurs with Forewind making Marske Stray preferred landfall. Also pointed out there is no dune near landfall area 2 (as per schematic) but boulder clay cliff. In his opinion the area 2 would be more welcome than area 3.	Forewind noted the comments and will investigate further on the provided information. Further details on the site selection process will be found in the draft Environmental Statement	Forewind to investigate the land reclamation information and provide a comment.
TS_PEI1 S47_Q29	25/05/2012	Positive feel about the development, agree with preferred landfall and the process itself appears to be very thorough.	Noted in Forewind's records	No response
TS_PEI1 S47_Q31	25/05/2012	Positive feel about the development and suggested that Forewind should be more wildlife friendly and do not interrupt Redcar's defence systems. Also suggested that building an information centre in Redcar could be beneficial for local area.	Noted in Forewind's records, assessment work on ecology and site selection will be included in the draft ES.	Forewind to consider some form of permanent display about the Teesside development in Redcar
TS_PEI1 S47_Q1	26/05/2012	The resident has a neutral feel about the development, thinks Forewind site selection is a good choice and landfall and onshore cable route should avoid the residential area.	Forewind noted the response and has undertaken the site selection process with the aim to avoid all large residential areas	Site selection will be covered in the ES
TS_PEI1 S47_Q9	26/05/2012	Neutral feel about the project. Pointed out there is a height restriction for proposed converter station site (Site 5) due to an agreement made	Forewind noted the response and all site selection work will be detailed within the draft Environmental	Forewind to obtain legal opinion on ICI letter to LEG.

Consultee	Date Received	Summary Text	Applicant Regard	Answer to Questions
		<p>between ICI and LEG.</p> <p>In the resident's opinion there are no potential benefits if the onshore development is near to Lazenby Village and too high and visually intrusive. Forewind should communicate with the residents regarding onshore impacts.</p> <p>Forewind team spoke with the resident at the exhibition regarding the ICI planning restriction and took photos of the document. The agreement between ICI and LEG affected s5 plot.</p> <p>There is a tank trap between S5 and S6 (comprises banks and holes - archaeological feature). Hedgerows vertical separating S5 into blocks (affects western position).</p> <p>Some of mounds near Lazenby are at original height, in other areas height is up to 40 ft. Resident provided additional info about height of the Grain Co (65 ft) and mounds (40 ft).</p> <p>The resident mentioned the previous ICI site (nylon) was sold to Dupont. The site is closed and short time ago two towers were removed from the site. Is it possible to site converters in this area?</p> <p>There is a proposed waste disposal generator on Sembcorp land.</p>	<p>Statement. Converter station dimensions, including height will be also included within the draft Environmental Statement.</p>	<p>Forewind will investigate opportunity to use Dupont land</p> <p>Forewind will consider reducing height of converters</p> <p>Forewind will investigate tank trap comments</p> <p>Forewind to organise meeting with LEG</p>
TS_PEI1 S47_Q30	01/06/2012	<p>Neutral feel about the development. Forewind should not close an investigation for site selection; the resident prefers a 'brown field' site.</p>	<p>Forewind has noted the comments and further information on the site selection process will be included within the draft Environmental Statement</p>	<p>Forewind to look at simplifying the community consultation literature.</p>

Consultee	Date Received	Summary Text	Applicant Regard	Answer to Questions
		<p>There are issues with Site No2 - sewage works and existing pipe work within land.</p> <p>The resident suggested that Forewind should create literature for specific groups of consultees (for ex. farmers), simplify it and focus on answering the questions.</p>		Forewind to investigate sewage pipe at landfall 2
TS_PEI1 S47_Q8	08/06/2012	Positive feel about the project. Forewind should ensure fish and wildlife are not excessively harmed.	Forewind noted the response, and impacts on marine ecology, marine mammals and fish will be assessed within the draft ES	Impacts on marine ecology and fish will be assessed in the ES



**DOGGER BANK
TEESSIDE A & B**

**March
2014**

Consultation Report

Appendix K.4

Summary of comments contained within comment cards received from Section 47 consultees during the first phase of statutory consultation

Doc.No. F-STL-RP-001

Application Reference 5.1.11

Consultee	Date Received	Summary Text	Applicant Regard	Answer to Questions
Comment Cards				
TS_PEI1 S47_CC1	24/05/2012	The resident feels positive about the development, sees the employment (steel work) benefits and suggested doubling the numbers of turbines.	Noted in Forewind's records, numbers of turbines and socio-economics will be found within the draft Environmental Statement	Socio-economics and project infrastructure will be included in the draft ES
TS_PEI1 S47_CC2	24/05/2012	Concerns re. port & cable corridor. Additional clarifications noted following conversation: - concerns re. port are impacts that affect its ability and usefulness to trade - concerns re cable corridor were long term visual impact	Noted in Forewind's records, further information on Socio economics and visual impacts will be assessed within the draft Environmental Statement	Impacts on port will be assessed in socio-economic and shipping chapters of the ES. Visual impact of cable corridor will be assessed in the LVIA chapter of the ES
TS_PEI1 S47_CC3	24/05/2012	The resident has a bad experience with EDF's project - stated that residents did not know about it and it spoiled their view.	Forewind noted the comment and has endeavoured to ensure a thorough consultation process, including all those who will be affected by the project	Forewind will ensure a thorough consultation process
TS_PEI1 S47_CC4	01/06/2012	In general the resident has a positive feel about the development, however is concerned where the stations are going to be situated (Plot No 5) right on top of Lazenby.	Noted in Forewind's records, Forewind will ensure a thorough consultation process to keep residents updated on the project	Forewind will ensure a thorough consultation process